REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-10 are now pending in the application. Applicant cancels Claims 2 and 11-14 herein. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

ABSTRACT

The abstract stands objected to as failing to comprise a single paragraph. Applicant submits a new abstract herewith. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1 and 10 stand rejected as it is allegedly unclear how the correcting section corrects a wave. Although Applicant does not necessarily agree, Applicant amends claims 1 and 10 to recite the subject matter of claims 2 and 11 respectively. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims 1 and 10 are clear. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Claim 5 stands rejected as the recitation "the electric charge" allegedly lacks clear antecedent basis. Although Applicant does not necessarily agree, Applicant amends claim 5 to recite "an electric charge". Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Burke (U.S. Pat. No. 5,557,241). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Notwithstanding and solely in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1 and 10 to recite the allowable subject matter of claims 2 and 11 respectively. Accordingly, this rejection should be moot.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner states that claims 2-9 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicant amends claims 1 and 10 to include the allowable subject matter of claims 2 and 11 respectively. Claims 2 and 11 are cancelled. Claims 3-9 depend from claim 1. Therefore, claims 1 and 3-10 should now be in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner

believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 16, 2007

G. Gregory Schivley

3eg. Ne. 27,382

Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

[GGS/BEW/pvd]